



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION |              |  |
|--|-------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|--------------|--|
| 09/645,279   | 08/24/2000  | Caren H Baker        | EMPIR-020PUS 3823                |              |  |
| 7590 12/17/2003  |             |                      | EXAMINER                         |              |  |
| David W. Rouille   |             |                      | STEELMAN, MARY J                 |              |  |
| Daly, Crowley & Mofford, LLP<br>275 Turnpike Street, Suite 101 |             |                      | ART UNIT                         | PAPER NUMBER |  |
| Canton, MA 02021-2310  |             |                      | 2122                             | 15           |  |
|  |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 12/17/2003          | 3 13         |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| a   | _   | ppg   |   |
|---|---|---|---|
|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |   |
| Advisory Action   | 09/645,279  | BAKER ET AL.  |   |
| navicely neutrin  | Examiner  | Art Unit  | - |
|   | Mary J. Steelman  | 2122  |   |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence address   |   |
| THE REPLY FILED 10 November 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.            | oid abandonment of this application) a timely filed amendment which   | ation. A proper reply to a  |   |
| PERIOD FOR RE   | EPLY [check either a) or b)]  |   |   |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date   |   |   |   |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The  | later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing<br>S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH   | g date of the final rejection.<br>HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP                          |   |
| fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C | of extension and the corresponding amo<br>the shortened statutory period for reply<br>ce later than three months after the mail | unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or |   |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI  |   |   |   |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be   | ecause:   |   |   |
| (a) X they raise new issues that would require further  | er consideration and/or search (s   | see NOTE below);  |   |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b  | pelow);   | ,   |   |
| (c)  they are not deemed to place the application is<br>issues for appeal; and/or   | n better form for appeal by mate  | rially reducing or simplifying the  |   |
| (d)  they present additional claims without canceli<br>NOTE:  | ing a corresponding number of fi  | inally rejected claims.   |   |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject  | tion(s):  |   |   |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).   | be allowable if submitted in a se   | eparate, timely filed amendment   |   |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:  |   | dered but does NOT place the  |   |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.   |   | •   |   |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we   | i(s) a) 💢 will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo   | ☐ will be entered and an<br>w or appended.  |   |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |   |   |   |
| Claim(s) allowed:   |   |   |   |
| Claim(s) objected to:   |   |   |   |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1-14.  |   |   |   |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |   |   |   |

10. Other: See Continuation Sheet

8. The drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_.

Continuation of 10. Other: Newly added claim limitations "wherein the at least one software component includes software instructions", "at least one", "wherein each of the multiple containers comprises one or more portions of software code associated with one or more respective application servers", and "selected ones of the at least one software component" will require further search and/or consideration...

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER